

**ORDER**

No. SO(Estt.)36-286/2019. WHEREAS, Ms. Asma Bibi daughter of Amir Bakhsh, (W/o Mukhtiar Ahmad), Junior Special Education Teacher (MC Field / BS-16), Govt. Special Education Centre, Yazman, District Bahawalpur, Resident of House No. 53-CH, P.O. Churhatta, Churhatta Sindh Shumali, Tehsil and District Dera Ghazi Khan ('petitioner') filed Writ Petition No. 2871/2026 in Hon'ble Lahore High Court, Multan Bench, Multan for early decision of her pending application. The instant Writ Petition was disposed of by the Hon'ble Court vide order dated 03.03.2026 with the direction that respondent No.2 shall decide the petitioner's application strictly in accordance with the applicable law, rules and policy, after affording an opportunity of hearing to all concerned. The petitioner was directed to appear before respondent No.2 on 06.03.2026.

2. **AND WHEREAS**, the petitioner did not appear on 06.03.2026; therefore, in pursuance of the directions of the Hon'ble Lahore High Court, Multan Bench, Multan, she was again directed to appear before the undersigned on 18.03.2026. The petitioner (Ms. Asma Bibi) and Respondent No.7 (Mr. Muhammad Bilal) appeared on the fixed date and were afforded a full opportunity of hearing. During the course of hearing, the petitioner reiterated the grounds taken in her application as well as in the Writ Petition. After stating all the facts related to the case, the petitioner confined her objections to two specific assertions, namely: firstly, that Respondent No.7 has no child and, therefore, was not entitled to the award of marks on compassionate grounds; and secondly, that the medical certificate submitted by Respondent No.7 regarding illness of his alleged child was fabricated and not genuine. It is significant to note that the petitioner stated that she was not disputing the calculation of her own merit; rather, her challenge was limited to the eligibility of Respondent No.7 for compassionate marks. In response to the said objections, Respondent No.7 stated during the hearing that he has two children, i.e., one son and one daughter, and that the medical documents submitted by him in support of his claim were genuine and duly verified by the competent medical authority. However, in order to ensure transparency, fairness, and to remove any possible ambiguity regarding the claims of Respondent No.7, specific directions were issued during the proceedings. Respondent No.7 was directed to produce documentary proof regarding the birth of his child, as well as a fresh medical certificate issued by the concerned Medical Superintendent. Simultaneously, the District Education Officer (Special Education), D.G. Khan, was directed to independently verify the previously submitted medical record from the concerned hospital and to arrange for a fresh medical examination of the child.

3. **AND WHEREAS**, in compliance with the said directions, Respondent No.7 produced a birth certificate issued by the concerned Union Council, which establishes that he is the father of a son, namely Murad Ali. Furthermore, a fresh medical certificate was issued by the concerned Medical Superintendent certifying that the said child is suffering from epilepsy, a chronic medical condition requiring continuous care and treatment. In addition, the District Education Officer (Special Education), D.G. Khan, obtained verification of the previously submitted medical documents from the issuing hospital, and the same were duly confirmed to be genuine and valid. Thus, both the existence of the child and the authenticity of the medical condition stand established

through official record and independent verification. The objections raised by the petitioner regarding the non-existence of the child and the alleged falsity of the medical record stand conclusively rebutted by documentary evidence issued and verified by the concerned authorities.

4. **AND WHEREAS**, the matter has been examined in light of Transfer Policy-2022 of Special Education Department, which provides a structured and merit-based mechanism for transfers. Under Clause 3 of the said policy, marks for service in far-flung areas are awarded on yearly basis, subject to a maximum of 30 marks, while up to 20 marks are admissible on compassionate grounds where an employee has a child suffering from severe illness, duly verified by a medical specialist and countersigned by the Medical Superintendent. A comparative evaluation of merit reveals that both the petitioner and Respondent No.7 were awarded 05 marks each on account of service in far-flung areas. However, Respondent No.7 was further awarded 20 marks on compassionate grounds on account of his son's illness, duly verified in accordance with the policy, thereby bringing his total merit score to 25 marks, as against the petitioner's total of 05 marks. The award of compassionate marks to Respondent No.7 is thus found to be strictly in accordance with the Transfer Policy-2022.

5. **AND WHEREAS**, the pleas raised by the petitioner have been carefully examined in light of the record. The contention regarding non-existence of the child is categorically rebutted by the official birth certificate issued by the concerned Union Council. Likewise, the assertion regarding fabrication of the medical certificate stands negated by the issuance of the certificate by the Medical Superintendent. The petitioner has failed to produce any cogent or admissible evidence to controvert these documents.

6. **NOW, THEREFORE**, in view of the foregoing facts, after carefully examining the entire record, the applicable provisions of the Transfer Policy-2022, and the comparative merit of all applicants, I, **Shoaib Iqbal Syed**, Secretary Special Education / Respondent No. 2, am of the considered opinion that the transfer order dated 26.02.2026 has been passed strictly in accordance with the policy framework governing transfers, and the marks awarded to the respective applicants were correctly calculated and assigned in line with the prescribed criteria. It is further observed that the petitioner has not been able to demonstrate any illegality, procedural irregularity, miscalculation of merit, violation of policy provisions, or *mala fide* on the part of the department in the issuance of the impugned transfer order. Therefore, the application of the petitioner is hereby **rejected** for being devoid of merit. This order is passed in compliance with the directions issued by the Hon'ble Lahore High Court, Multan Bench, Multan, vide order dated 03.03.2026 in Writ Petition No. 2781/2026, which stands complied with in the above terms.

(SHOAIB IQBAL SYED)

SECRETARY

GOVERNMENT OF THE PUNJAB
SPECIAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT/
RESPONDENT NO. 2

Dated Lahore, the
07th April, 2026

No. & Date Even:

A copy is forwarded for information and necessary action to the: -

1. Deputy Registrar (Judicial), Lahore High Court, Multan Bench, Multan in compliance with order dated 03.03.2026 passed in Writ Petition No. 2781/2026.
2. Director General, Special Education, Punjab, Lahore.
3. District Education Officer (Special Education), D.G Khan.

4. Petitioner concerned : **Ms. Aarna Bhatt** daughter of **Arvi Bhatt**, 1980 Wadhwa
Aarnath, Junior Special Education Teacher (JEC, Field / GS-16), Govt. Special
Education Centre, Tazman, District Sahawajpur, Resident of House No. 53-05, P.O.
Chaurhatta, Chaurhatta South Shurhat, Tehsil and District Dera Ghazi Khan.
5. P.S. to Secretary Special Education Department.

[Handwritten Signature]
SECTION OFFICER (JEC) 4